Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/025,648	BISGARD-FRANTZEN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Rebecca E. Prouty	1652
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) Rebecca E. Prouty.	(3) Torben Borchert.	
(2) <u>Jason Garbell</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 3 September 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☑ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☑ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☑ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: draft claim amendment	ant's representative) ents and draft declaration show	ving unexpected results.
Part I.		*
Rejection(s) discussed: 103 presented in 7/29/03 Office Action		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed: Suzuki et al.		. •
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar 	e examiner will provide a writte record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview. since the interview
	9	
•		
	×	
Releven Cunty	2 2	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative Sig	gnature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/025,648

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed the showing of unexpected results in the draft declaration and claim amendments. Examiner indicated that declaration does appear to show results sufficiently unexpected to overcome the previous 103 rejection of claims of similar scope to the draft claims. Examiner also indicated that use of comprising language in darft claims 48 and 49 would likely lead to a scope rejection.